

# Test Module10.10

## Distributed Systems

### Test Cases

*Author(s): imbus AG  
MoReq2 test development team*

*Date: 16.04.2008*

*Version: 1.0*

*Status: Approved*

*Customer: Serco Consulting*

## Contents

Document History.....	3
<i>T10.10 Distributed Systems .....</i>	<i>4</i>
T10.10.1 The Configuration of a Distributed ERMS.....	5
T10.10.1.1 Configuring the Use Across Multiple Locations .....	5
T10.10.1.2 Supporting a Distributed Classification Scheme.....	6
T10.10.1.3 Maintaining Distributed Systems .....	7
T10.10.1.4 Resolving and Preventing Conflicts.....	8
T10.10.2 Reporting and Monitoring.....	9
T10.10.2.1 Monitoring the Distributed ERMS .....	9
T10.10.2.2 Producing Reports.....	10
T10.10.3 Configuration of an ERMS that Supports Multiple Repositories.....	11
T10.10.3.1 Specifying a Repository as Storage for a “Master Copy” .....	11
T10.10.3.2 Automatic Copy Mode for Classes .....	12
T10.10.3.3 User Access Rights .....	13
T10.10.3.4 Audit Trails .....	14
T10.10.4 Maintenance of an ERMS that Supports Multiple Repositories.....	15
T10.10.4.1 Changing the Repositories of “Master” Copies.....	15
T10.10.4.2 Adding a New Repository .....	16
T10.10.4.3 Removing a Repository .....	17
T10.10.5 Other.....	18
T10.10.5.1 Caching from Locations Using Remote Repositories .....	18
T10.10.5.2 Interchange of Data Across Systems .....	19
T10.10.5.3 Synchronisation of Repositories .....	20
T10.10.5.4 Propagation of Administrative Changes .....	21

## Document History

Version	Date of Issue	Author	Comment
0.1	09/01/08	Claudia Schieber	Initial Draft
0.2	11/01/08	Claudia Schieber	Revised after Draft 4
0.3	25/01/08	Claudia Schieber	Revised after internal review
0.4	28/02/2008	Claudia Schieber	Revision after publishing of the final draft of the MoReq2 specification
1.0	02/04/2008	MoReq2 test development team	Finalising after approval of the test framework

**T10.10 Distributed Systems**

<b>REMARKS</b>	TEST DATA:	<p>Please note, that we assume that a “master user” exists who is able to set up the ERMS. This user has universal access rights to aggregations and records and nearly all functions. We called this user <b>CA_username</b> and assigned this user to the user role <b>CentralAdministrator</b>.</p> <p>As the following tests (test module 10, chapter T10.10) require no further test data, no test data repository exists for this test module.</p>
	TEST EXECUTION:	<p>step (1) Please read the chapter <b>Testframework – Introduction/Chapter 2</b> before starting the test execution.</p> <p>step (2) The test cases are designed in a way that enables you to skip certain test cases when required. However, the outcome of some test cases might be a precondition of subsequent tests. Where there are dependencies we have inserted test references into the precondition section of the test case. Careful attention should be given to the preconditions of the test cases.</p>

### T10.10.1 The Configuration of a Distributed ERMS

Abstract: The following chapter focuses on testing the configuration options for a distributed ERMS.

#### T10.10.1.1 Configuring the Use Across Multiple Locations

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.1.1	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not testable
<i>test case description:</i>	The corresponding requirement is not testable.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.1	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
•		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.		
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
•		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.1.2 Supporting a Distributed Classification Scheme

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.1.2	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	A check on the documentation of the ERMS is made to confirm that the ERMS supports a distributed classification scheme.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.2	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation of the ERMS is available</li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.	Check the documentation of the ERMS if the ERMS supports a distributed classification scheme.	The ERMS supports a distributed classification scheme.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to the documentation of the ERMS, the ERMS supports a distributed classification scheme.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.1.3 Maintaining Distributed Systems

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.1.3	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	The documentation of the ERMS is checked to confirm that the ERMS allows an administrative role to carry out maintenance operations once to apply to the entire distributed system.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.3	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation of the ERMS is available</li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
step	action/operation	check/ expected result
1.	Check the documentation of the ERMS if an administrative role can carry out maintenance operations once to apply to the entire distributed system.	The documentation of the ERMS tells that an administrative role can carry out maintenance operations once to apply to the entire distributed system.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The documentation of the ERMS tells that the ERMS allows an administrative role to carry out maintenance operations once to apply to the entire distributed system.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.1.4 Resolving and Preventing Conflicts

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.1.4	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	The documentation of the ERMS is checked to ensure that the ERMS prevents or resolves conflicts caused by changes made in different locations.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.8	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation of the ERMS is available</li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
step	action/operation	check/ expected result
1.	Check the documentation of the ERMS to ensure that the ERMS prevents or resolves conflicts caused by changes made in different locations.	The documentation of the ERMS tells that the ERMS prevents or resolves conflicts caused by changes made in different locations.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The documentation of the ERMS tells that the ERMS prevents or resolves conflicts caused by changes made in different locations.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		<hr/> date, signature



### T10.10.2 Reporting and Monitoring

Abstract: The following chapter focuses on testing the reporting and monitoring functionality of a distributed ERMS.

#### T10.10.2.1 Monitoring the Distributed ERMS

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.2.1	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional <input type="checkbox"/> Not testable
<i>test case description:</i>	A check is made to ensure that the ERMS allows an administrative role to monitor both the entire distributed ERMS as a single entity and individual repositories of it.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.9	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>Logged in as the role <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.	Monitor the distributed ERMS as single entities.	The distributed ERMS is monitored.
2.	Monitor an individual repository.	An individual repository is monitored.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS allows an administrative role to monitor the entire distributed ERMS both as single entity and individual repositories.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.2.2 Producing Reports

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.2.2	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	A check is made to confirm that the ERMS is able to produce reports (such as quantitative reports or reports on failures etc.) that cover multiple repositories.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.10	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>Logged in as the role <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.	Generate a report (e.g. quantitative reports, reports on failure etc. ) that covers multiple repositories.	The report is generated.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS is able to generate reports that cover multiple repositories.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____
		date, signature

### T10.10.3 Configuration of an ERMS that Supports Multiple Repositories

Abstract: The following chapter focuses on testing the configuration options for an ERMS that supports multiple repositories.

#### T10.10.3.1 Specifying a Repository as Storage for a “Master Copy”

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.3.1	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	<p>The ERMS supports multiple repositories.</p> <p>A check is made to ensure that the ERMS allows an administrative role to specify which repository stores a “master copy” of each class.</p>	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.4	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>Logged in as the role <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.	Specify which repository stores a master copy of each class.	The repository is specified.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS allows an administrative role to specify which repository stores a “master copy” of each class.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____
		date, signature

### T10.10.3.2 Automatic Copy Mode for Classes

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.3.2	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	The ERMS supports multiple repositories.  A check is made to ensure that an administrative role is able to specify which repository(ies) automatically store(s) a copy of each class.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.5	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>Logged in as the role <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
step	action/operation	check/ expected result
1.	Specify which repository automatically stores a copy of each class.	The repository is specified.
2.	Specify another repository to store automatically a copy of each class .	Two repositories are specified.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where an ERMS supports multiple repositories it allows an administrative role to specify which repository(ies) automatically store(s) a copy of each class.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed  <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.3.3 User Access Rights

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.3.3	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	<p>An ERMS supports multiple repositories.</p> <p>A check is made to confirm that an administrative role is able to specify which repository(ies) the users can access at each location.</p>	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.6	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>Logged in as the role <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
step	action/operation	check/ expected result
1.	Check the configuration options for the ERMS that supports multiple repositories to ascertain whether it is possible to specify which repositories the users at each location can access.	The ERMS allows to specify which repositories the users at each location can access.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where an ERMS supports multiple repositories it allows an administrative role to specify which repositories the users at each location can access.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.3.4 Audit Trails

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.3.4	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	<p>An ERMS supports multiple repositories.</p> <p>A check is made to ensure that the ERMS allows an administrative role to specify that all audit trails will be copied to one repository.</p>	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.7	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>Logged in as the role <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
step	action/operation	check/ expected result
1.	Check the configuration options for the ERMS that supports multiple repositories to ascertain whether it is possible to specify that all audit trails will be copied to one repository.	The ERMS allows to specify that all audit trails will be copied to one repository.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where an ERMS supports multiple repositories it allows an administrative role to specify that all audit trails will be copied to one repository.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.4 Maintenance of an ERMS that Supports Multiple Repositories

Abstract: The following chapter focuses on testing maintenance aspects of an ERMS that supports multiple repositories.

#### T10.10.4.1 Changing the Repositories of “Master” Copies

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.4 .1	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	<p>The ERMS supports multiple repositories and stores a “master” copy in a specified repository.</p> <p>Following checks are made to confirm that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• an administrative role is able to specify a new repository to store the “master” copy of each class;</li> <li>and</li> <li>• the ERMS moves the whole content from the old to the new location.</li> </ul>	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.15	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>• The ERMS stores a “master” copy of each class in a specified repository (see test case T10.10.3.1); this repository already contains content.</li> <li>• Logged in as the role <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.	Specify a new repository (location) for storing the “master” copy of each class.	A new repository (location) is specified.
2.	Check the content of the old and new repository (location).	The ERMS moved the whole content from the old to the new repository.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ERMS allows an administrative role to specify a new repository to store a “master” copy of each class.</li> <li>• Where specifying a new repository to store the “master” copy for each class, the ERMS moves the whole content from the old to the new repository.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.4.2 Adding a New Repository

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.4.2	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	<p>The ERMS supports multiple repositories.</p> <p>A check is made to confirm that the ERMS allows an administrative role to add a new repository.</p>	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.16	
<b>II. Test case</b>		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>Logged in as <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.	Add a new repository to the existing repositories.	A new repository is added.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where the ERMS supports multiple repositories, a new repository can be added to the existing repositories by an administrative role.</li> </ul>		
<b>III. Test result</b>		
<i>defects / deviations</i>	<i>verdict</i>	
	<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed	
<i>Remarks</i>	<i>tester</i>	
	<hr/> date, signature	



### T10.10.4.3 Removing a Repository

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.4.3	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional <input type="checkbox"/> Not testable
<i>test case description:</i>	The ERMS supports multiple repositories. A check is made to ensure that the ERMS allows an administrative role to remove a repository.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.17	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ERMS supports multiple repositories</li> <li>At least one repository exists (see test case T10.10.4.2)</li> <li>Logged in as <b>CentralAdministrator</b></li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>Check</i>
1.	Remove a repository.	The repository is removed.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>When the ERMS supports multiple repositories, an existing repository can be removed by an administrative role.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.5 Other

Abstract: This chapter focuses on testing various aspects of an ERMS that supports distributed systems that have not been tested in previous chapters.

#### T10.10.5.1 Caching from Locations Using Remote Repositories

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.5.1	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	The documentation of the ERMS is checked to ensure that the ERMS allows caching frequently used files, sub-files, volumes and records accessed from locations using remote repositories.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.11	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation of the ERMS is available</li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
step	action/operation	Check
1.	Check the documentation to ascertain whether the ERMS supports caching of frequently used files, sub-files, volumes and records accessed from locations using remote repositories.	The documentation of the ERMS tells that the ERMS supports caching of frequently used files, sub-files, volumes and records accessed from locations using remote repositories.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The documentation of the ERMS tells that the ERMS supports caching of frequently used files, sub-files, volumes and records accessed from locations using remote repositories.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.5.2 Interchange of Data Across Systems

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.5.2	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	The ERMS is capable of creating workflows across distributed systems. The documentation of the ERMS is checked to ensure that data can be interchanged across these systems to control the workflow process.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.14	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation of the ERMS is available</li> </ul>		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
step	action/operation	Check
1.	Check the documentation of the ERMS to ascertain whether data can be interchanged across systems to control the workflow process.	The documentation of the ERMS tells that in systems supporting the creation of workflows across distributed systems, data can be interchanged across those systems to control the workflow process.
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The documentation of the ERMS shows that where the ERMS is capable of creating workflows across distributed systems they allow the interchange of data across those systems to control the workflow process.</li> </ul>		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.5.3 Synchronisation of Repositories

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.5.3	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not testable
<i>test case description:</i>	The corresponding requirement is not testable.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.12	
II. Test case		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
•		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.		
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
•		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed <input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____ date, signature

### T10.10.5.4 Propagation of Administrative Changes

I. Global test case information		
<i>test case id:</i>	T10.10.5.4	
<i>test case priority:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Optional
<i>test case description:</i>	The corresponding requirement is not testable.	
<i>Req.-ID:</i>	10.10.13	
<b>II. Test case</b>		
<i>a. precondition(s)</i>		
•		
<i>b. test steps</i>		
<i>step</i>	<i>action/operation</i>	<i>check/ expected result</i>
1.		
<i>c. postcondition(s)</i>		
•		
III. Test result		
<i>defects / deviations</i>		<i>verdict</i>
		<input type="checkbox"/> passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> failed
<i>Remarks</i>		<i>tester</i>
		_____
		date, signature